

REMARKS

In the Final Office Action, the claims were objected to under 35 U.S.C § 112. Although Applicants do not acquiesce in the rejection, the claims have been amended in order to remove the language objected to. Also, the claims have also been amended to state that the guide rolls are decelerated and/or accelerated using a drive device. Support for this amendment can be found throughout the specification. Since the above amendments to the claims are consistent in the manner in which the Examiner has interpreted the claims, it is believed that the above amendments do not raise any new issues and/or require any further search of the prior art.

The above claim amendments were previously presented in an amendment dated August 18, 2006. The amendments, however, were not entered because additional new claims were also presented without canceling a corresponding number of finally objected claims. In the Advisory Action dated September 5, 2006, Examiners Kim and Matecki indicated that the above amendments would be entered after the final rejection as long as no further new claims are added.

The above amendments are believed to overcome the objections under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Thus, adding the above amendments will simplify the issues on appeal.

This amendment is also being filed in conjunction with a Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review. If Examiner Kim or Examiner Matecki have any questions with respect to the above amendments, he or she is invited and encouraged to telephone the undersigned at their convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

DORITY & MANNING, P.A.

November 16, 2006

BY:


Timothy A. Cassidy
Registration No. 38,024

P.O. Box 1449
Greenville, SC 29602-1449
(864) 271-1592
(864) 233-7342